

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/819,554	03/28/2001	Xavier Ducłoux	PF010030	8997
7	590 04/07/2005	EXAMINER		
JOSEPH S. TRIPOLI THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC. 2 INDEPENDENCE WAY P.O. BOX 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			SENFI, BEHROOZ M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543-5312		DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/819,554	DUCLOUX ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Behrooz Senfi	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	1/18/2004, fwd 2/1/2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 5 and 7 – 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 5 and 7 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peterson et al (US 5,986,712).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Peterson '712 discloses, "high definition image encoding" (i.e. fig. 1), and "image being split into panels" (i.e. fig. 1, stripes/bands, col. 2, lines 49 – 51), and "an encoder being assigned to each panel" (i.e. fig. 2, strip encoders), and "two or more panels constituting over the length of the image, a horizontal band of the image" (horizontal stripes/bands are extending over the length of the image, thus consist with the disclosure of fig. 1 in the specification of the present application), and "a rate control is implemented at a band level as a function of a preset bit rate for the band" (i.e. figs 1 – 2, local/global rate control), and "each encoder of the band taking into account a same Video Buffer Verifier (VBV) calculated for the whole band" (i.e. fig. 3, local and global VBV), and "each encoder is coupled to another encoder, in claim 7" reads on (i.e. fig. 1, 110).

Application/Control Number: 09/819,554 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 2 – 5 and 8 - 9, the limitations "preset bit rate allocated to a horizontal band, in claim 2" reads on (i.e. fig. 3, target bit rate), and "coding cost is calculated on the basis of a pre-analysis of the image" is inherent, the target bit rate is known based on a pre-analysis, and "cost of coding or complexity, in claim 4" reads on (i.e. fig. 3) and "preset bit rate of horizontal band is in part the preset bit rate for the complete image....., in claims 5, 9" reads on (i.e. fig. 3, 303, 302).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

 The prior art of the record fails to anticipate nor rendered obvious the mathematical equation of "preset bit rate of a horizontal band is equal to: Di = (p xi/x + (1-p) n/N) D, as defined in the claim.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Behrooz Senfi** whose telephone

Application/Control Number: 09/819,554

Art Unit: 2613

number is (571)272-7339.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Chris Kelley** can be reached on (571)272-7331.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relative to the status of the application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

B. S. & . \(\int \)'

4/2/2005

CHIRIS KELLEY
SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER
THE MOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4